

Cuprates: experiment vs. quasiparticle theory

Indeed, one can hardly disagree with most of the points raised by P.W. Anderson in his Reference Frame of the February 2000 issue of Physics Today.

However, one can take a different viewpoint than his on the cuprates.

Experimentally, the 'notorious "linear T" resistivity' etc. has only been observed at temperatures T of the order of a few degrees Kelvin *at most*, and *never* all the way down to (practically) zero temperature. Somehow, many people in the field make the implicit extrapolation of the linear regime down to T=0. I think this is an important issue, which has been all too often sidestepped, especially in efforts to model the (normal state of the) cuprates.

Now, regarding the irrelevance (or not!) of quasiparticle theory thereon. Conceivably, ' "proofs" that purport to show otherwise, are not very useful since they ignore the possibility of anomalies ...'. *But*, are there experimental indications pointing to this possibility? It is easy to imagine that a long discussion may ensue on the matter.

Nevertheless, if one is willing to give good old quasiparticle perturbative methods a chance, the 'notorious "linear T" resistivity' and optical conductivity can be *analytically* understood - at least for overdoped and optimally doped materials. (Stripes apparently dominate the physics of the underdoped regime, and a smooth crossover between these regimes can be envisaged, but that is another story.) One obtains a one-particle scattering rate which goes like x^2 , $x=\max\{T, \text{energy}\}$, for $x \rightarrow 0$. This scattering rate becomes *linear* in energy if the latter exceeds a crossover value x_o , or *linear* in T if T exceeds $(x_o/4)$. (x_o is the difference between the chemical potential and the energy of the van Hove singularity.) The result holds true *everywhere* in the Brillouin zone [1]. This prediction was directly supported by the ARPES expts. of Johnson et al. [2]. Experiment also indicates that x_o is roughly in the range 50-350 °K, not in disagreement with the observed resistivity etc.

A couple more points related to traditional Fermi liquid effects in the cuprates. In the model presented above, phonons play *no* essential role, if any, in the T dependence of the scattering rate. They merely provide momentum dissipation, thus yielding a finite resistivity [1]. On the other hand, the unconventional effects of impurity scattering, e.g. in the low T resistivity [3], merit further investigation before any conclusions can be drawn.

George Kastrinakis

Dept. of Chemical Engineering
University of Cambridge
Cambridge CB2 3RA, U.K.

References

- [1] G. Kastrinakis, Physica C **317-319**, 497 (1999); e-print cond-mat/0005485, Physica C (in print).
- [2] T. Valla et al., Science **285**, 2110 (1999); e-print cond-mat/0003407.
- [3] G.S. Boebinger et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 5417 (1996).